Preparing to Write the Analysis Paper

1. ANALYZE THE ARGUMENTS ON THE TABLE

What makes an argument?

Premise + Premise + Premise + Counterargument/Refutation = Conclusion

Identify and map the arguments present in your source material. If you struggle to identify the argument, check the source's introduction, conclusion, and, if applicable, the abstract.

EXAMPLE:

Romney's speech about the Keystone XL Pipeline			
Premises	✓ Keystone creates jobs		
	✓ Keystone improves the economy		
	✓ Keystone reduces overseas oil consumption		
Conclusion	❖ Keystone should be constructed.		

Obama's speech about the Keystone XL Pipeline			
Premises	✓ Crude oil damages the environment.		
	✓ We should spend money on renewable energy since it is cleaner.		
	✓ Investing in renewable energy creates jobs.		
	✓ Investing in renewable energy decreases foreign oil dependence.		
Conclusion	Keystone should not be constructed.		

2. RESPOND TO THE ARGUMENTS

What is your response to the source's argument? To effectively respond, you will have to *agree, agree in part,* or *disagree* with the writer's argument. Use the following chart to help guide you through different responses.

Agree	Agree in Part	Disagree
New/different evidence	A qualified, more specific	Evidence is false
supports the claim	position exists	
		Claim does not follow
No other conclusion is	Argument holds under	logically from the evidence.
logically possible. (Rebut the	reservation(s)—my position	
counterargument).	only applies under specific	Logic is circular: The claim is
	circumstances.	the same as the evidence!
Evidence is authoritative or		
matches examples/personal	Claim only follows with	Claim is too broad/accounts
experience.	probability (inductive	for too much.
	reasoning) not with	
An analogy or comparison	necessity (deductive	Argument creates a false
effectively supports the	reasoning)	dilemma—it's not a black
claim.		and white situation.

11.19.13

Preparing to Write the Analysis Paper

Example of agreement

New/different evidence supports the claim

I agree with Obama's claim because if the pipeline broke, it might inflict major damage to the Sandhills Wetland and the Ogallala Aquifer.

Example of agreeing in part

A qualified, more specific position exists

If the pipeline created 20,000 jobs, the project would be worth environmental risk, as it would promote the U.S. economy. However, the project is a partnership of American and Canadian workers, so only about 10,000 jobs would be created in America.

Example of disagreement

Argument creates a false dilemma

We can invest in renewable resources and still build the Keystone XL pipeline. These are not mutually exclusive goals.

3. ANTICIPATE POSSIBLE COUNTERARGUMENTS

After you brainstorm responses to each of your source's arguments and premises, begin listing counterarguments, or counter-responses to your responses. Take notes and list counterarguments after each response.

AGREE Supporting comparison—

Response: I agree with Obama's claim because if the pipeline broke, it might inflict major damage to the Sandhills Wetland and the Ogallala Aquifer.

Counterargument: The pipeline's potentially negative environmental impact could be decreased if the pipeline were rerouted so that it did not flow through such fragile natural habitats.

AGREE IN PART Qualify—

Response: If the pipeline created 20,000 jobs, the project would be worth environmental risk, as it would promote the U.S. economy. However, the project is a partnership of American and Canadian workers, so only about 10,000 jobs would be created in America. **Counterargument:** Adding 10,000 American jobs to the economy is still an achievement, and we should accept economic growth opportunities when they arise.

DISAGREE Claim doesn't follow evidence—

Response: We can invest in renewable resources and still build Keystone. These are not mutually exclusive goals.

11.19.13

Preparing to Write the Analysis Paper

Counterargument: The U.S. needs to take a strong and unified stance on sustainability investment. Building the Keystone pipeline would ideologically undermine attempts to improve the U.S.'s commitment to renewable resources.

4. BEGIN TO PLAN ORGANIZATION USING COUNTERARGUMENT

Use your responses and counterarguments to draw a conclusion <u>of your own</u>. Your conclusion should directly relate to the source's(s') arguments, and the conclusion should be your OWN.

THESIS – I agree that constructing Keystone XL, as planned, may cause tremendous damage to the surrounding wildlife. However, if the pipeline were rerouted, I believe the Keystone XL should be constructed, as it would help improve the American economy and help decrease the country's dependence on foreign oil.

- I. I agree with Obama's concerns about the environmental impact of the Keystone XL pipeline; if the pipeline broke, it could inflict major damage to the Sandhills Wetland and the Ogallala Aquifer.
- II. Nancy Sutley, chair of the White House Council on Environmental Quality and President Obama's principal environmental advisor, does not think the pipeline would be safe. Her qualifications indicate that Sutley's definition of safe is superior to Romney's.
- III. While Romney cites Keystone's state-of-the-art safety measures, such measures do not guarantee that no spills will occur. Indeed, Keystone XL would be one of the largest pipelines ever constructed, and there is no way of predicting the possible dangers.
- IV. Proponents of the Keystone Pipeline correctly argue that the American economy needs jobs, and Keystone XL would create 20,000 of them.
- V. The U.S. needs to decrease foreign oil dependence. Building the Keystone XL Pipeline would help curb this dependence.

CONCLUSION – To appease environmentalists, the pipeline could be rerouted around the Sandhills wetland and the Ogallala Aquifer. Once the pipeline is rerouted, the pipeline's construction would benefit the hurting U.S. economy and allow for increased energy independence from foreign oil.

11.19.13